

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/722,966	11/26/2003	Jan Chipchase 884A.002	884A.0027.U1(US)	9005
29683	7590 10/24/2006		EXAMINER	
HARRINGTON & SMITH, LLP			DAO, MINH D	
4 RESEARCH	I DRIVE CT 06484-6212		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
biibbion, c	71 00101 0212		2618	
			DATE MAILED: 10/24/2000	6

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/722,966	CHIPCHASE ET AL.	
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
	MINH D. DAO	2618	
The MAILING DATE of this communication a Period for Reply	appears on the cover sheet with	the correspondence address	
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REF WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory perion. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by stat Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the may earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	DATE OF THIS COMMUNICA 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a rep od will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTH tute, cause the application to become ABAI	ATION. y be timely filed S from the mailing date of this communication. IDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	
Status			
Responsive to communication(s) filed on 2a) ☐ This action is FINAL.	his action is non-final. vance except for formal matter	• •	
Disposition of Claims			
4) ⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-23</u> is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withd 5) □ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-23</u> is/are rejected. 7) □ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) □ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and	rawn from consideration.		
Application Papers			
9) The specification is objected to by the Exami 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) a Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the	ccepted or b) in objected to by the drawing(s) be held in abeyance ection is required if the drawing(s)	e. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).	
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119			
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority docume 2. Certified copies of the priority docume 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority docume application from the International Bure * See the attached detailed Office action for a life.	ents have been received. ents have been received in Appriority documents have been received in Receive	olication No eceived in this National Stage	
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	Paper No(s)/	nmary (PTO-413) Mail Date	
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	5) Notice of Info	rmal Patent Application	

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

1. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(e) the invention was described in a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention thereof by the applicant for patent, or on an international application by another who has fulfilled the requirements of paragraphs (1), (2), and (4) of section 371(c) of this title before the invention thereof by the applicant for patent.

The changes made to 35 U.S.C. 102(e) by the American Inventors Protection Act of 1999 (AIPA) and the Intellectual Property and High Technology Technical Amendments Act of 2002 do not apply when the reference is a U.S. patent resulting directly or indirectly from an international application filed before November 29, 2000. Therefore, the prior art date of the reference is determined under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) prior to the amendment by the AIPA (pre-AIPA 35 U.S.C. 102(e)).

2. Claims 1-23 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Gouzman et al. (US 6,762,749).

Regarding claim 1, Gouzman teaches an electronic device, having an exterior surface, the electronic device (see figs. 5A, 5B) comprising: an actuator (see fig. 3; pin actuators 108s; col. 18, lines 57-65) for providing, when enabled, a first texture at a first portion of the exterior surface of the electronic device and for providing, when disabled, a second

texture at the first portion of exterior surface of the electronic device (see figs. 6s-10s. In this case, the position of the pins such as extended or retracted reads on the first and the second texture of the present invention respectively) a user interface for changing the status of the electronic device from a first status to a second status (see figs. 5A, 5B, items 202s, 204s); and a processor operable to enable the actuator during the first status and disable the actuator during the second status (see fig. 3, item 104).

Regarding claim 2, Gouzman teaches an electronic device as claimed in claim 1, wherein the first texture provides discontinuities in the first portion of the exterior surface (see figs. 6s-10s).

Regarding claim 3, Gouzman teaches an electronic device as claimed in claim 1, wherein the first texture feels bumpy or rough to the touch (see figs. 6s-10s).

Regarding claim 4, Gouzman teaches an electronic device as claimed in claim 3, wherein the second texture feels relatively smooth to the touch (see figs. 6s-10s).

Regarding claim 5, Gouzman teaches an electronic device as claimed in claim 1, wherein the actuator continuously provides the first texture at the first portion of the exterior surface of the electronic device while the electronic device has the first status (see figs. 6s-10s).

Regarding claim 6, Gouzman teaches an electronic device as claimed in claim 5,

wherein the actuator continuously provides the second texture at the first portion of the

exterior surface of the electronic device while the electronic device has the second

status (see figs. 6s-10s).

Regarding claim 7, Gouzman teaches an electronic device as claimed in claim 1,

wherein the actuator comprises extendible projections, which are extended When

the actuator is enabled and retracted when the actuator is disabled (see col. 7, lines

19-32; also see figs. 6s-10s).

Regarding claim 8, Gouzman teaches an electronic device as claimed in claim 1,

wherein the actuator comprises extendible projections, which are extended when

the actuator is disabled and retracted when the actuator is enabled (see col. 7, lines

19-32; also see figs. 6s-10s).

Regarding claim 9, Gouzman teaches an electronic device as claimed in claim 1,

wherein the actuator comprises one or more polymer actuators (see col. 7, lines 19-32).

Regarding claim 10, Gouzman teaches an electronic device as claimed in claim 1,

wherein the actuator comprises one or more stepper motors (see col. 11, lines 25-40).

Regarding claim 11, Gouzman teaches an electronic device as claimed in claim 1, wherein the electronic device comprises a plurality of actuators and the processor is operable to selectively enable the actuators (see fig. 3; pin actuators 108s; col. 18, lines 57-65).

Regarding claim 12, Gouzman teaches an electronic device as claimed in claim 1, wherein the first status of the electronic device is changeable to the second status only via user interface (see figs. 5A, 5B (items 202s, 204s) and associated text portions).

Regarding claim 13, Gouzman teaches an electronic device as claimed in claim 1, wherein the actuator when enabled is indicative of an operational mode of the electronic device (see fig. 3; pin actuators 108s; col. 18, lines 57-65). The extended position of the pin actuator of Gouzman reads on this limitation of the claim.

Regarding claim 14, Gouzman teaches an electronic device as claimed in claim 1, wherein immediate user attention is not necessary when the electronic device has the first status (see figs. 5A, 5B (items 202s, 204s) and associated text portions).

Regarding claim 15, Gouzman teaches an electronic device as claimed in claim 1, wherein the electronic device is operational when it has the first status and is nonoperational when it has the second status (see col. 13, lines 29-34). In addition, as

explained above, the extended and retracted positions of the pin actuators define a function inherently including operational and non-operational status of the present invention.

Regarding claim 17, Gouzman teaches an electronic device as claimed in claim 1, wherein the electronic device is being used as a gaming device when it has the first status (see col. 7, lines 37-48).

Regarding claim 18, Gouzman teaches an electronic device as claimed in claim 1, wherein the exterior surface of the electronic device is directly accessible to the user (see fig. 5B).

Regarding claim 19, Gouzman teaches an electronic device as claimed in claim 1, operable as a mobile cellular telephone (see col. 1, lines 26-54).

Regarding claim 20, Gouzman teaches an electronic device as claimed in claim 1 operable as an accessory for a mobile cellular telephone (see col. 1, lines 26-54).

Regarding claim 16, since Gouzman teaches that electronic device operable as a mobile cellular telephone, therefore the device of Gouzman inherently can be in a mute or not mute as it is a well known fact in the cellular industry.

Application/Control Number: 10/722,966

Art Unit: 2618

Regarding claim 21, Gouzman teaches a user-replaceable cover for an electronic

Page 7

device as claimed in acclaim 1, providing at least the first portion of the exterior surface

of the electronic device and comprising the actuator (see figs. 5A, 5B (items 202s, 204s)

and associated text portions). Since items 202s and 204s are parts of the system of

Gouzman, they are replaceable.

Regarding claim 22, the claim includes the limitations of claims 1 and 21 and therefore

is interpreted and rejected for the reasons set forth in the rejections of claims 1 and 21.

Regarding claim 23, the claim includes the limitations of claim 1, and therefore is

interpreted and rejected for the reasons set forth in the rejection of claims 1.

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the

examiner should be directed to MINH D. DAO whose telephone number is 571-272-

7851. The examiner can normally be reached on 8:30 AM - 5:00 PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's

supervisor, MATTHEW ANDERSON can be reached on 571-272-4177. The fax phone

number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-

273-8300.

Application/Control Number: 10/722,966 Page 8

Art Unit: 2618

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Minh Dao AU 2618 October 19, 2006 Matthew Anderson Superviser AU 2618